*IOSR Journal of Research & Method in Education (IOSR-JRME)*
*e-ISSN: 2320–7388, p- ISSN: 2320-737x Volume 16, Issue 1 Ser. 2 (Jan. – Feb. 2026) 65-69*
*www.iosrjournals.org*

# Training Mathematics Teachers In A Digitally Mediated Environment

Alex Paubel Junger[1], Rafael Ricardo Jacomossi[2], Victor Inácio De Oliveira[3], Manoel Messias Pereira Araújo[4], Márcio De La Cruz Lui[5], Nisflei Carvalho Galoni[6], Cristiane Gomes De Carvalho Fontana[7], Antonio Carlos De Alcantara Thimóteo[8], Melissa Moreira Zanquetta[9], Michel Da Costa[10]

*Universidade Cruzeiro Do Sul*
*Inteli (BTG Pactual)*
*Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie; Faculdade Engenheiro Salvador Arena*
*Universidade Cruzeiro Do Sul*
*Faculdade De Tecnologia Do Estado De São Paulo*
*Instituto J&F*
*Universidade Cruzeiro Do Sul*
*Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie*
*Universidade De São Paulo*
*Universidade Metropolitana De Santos*

***Abstract:***
*The formation of mathematics teachers in the context of digital resources has emerged as a central challenge for contemporary education. Traditional teaching practices, often centered on content transmission, have proven insufficient to meet students' diverse learning needs. Integrating digital technologies into teacher education offers opportunities to enhance pedagogical practices, foster student engagement, and promote meaningful learning. However, effective technology-mediated teaching requires comprehensive initial and continuing professional development, reflective practice, and alignment between technological, pedagogical, and disciplinary knowledge. This study highlights the importance of articulating theory and practice, cultivating teacher identity, and addressing contextual factors that influence mathematics teaching, ultimately contributing to inclusive, innovative, and socially relevant education.*

***Keywords:*** *Mathematics teacher education; Digital technologies; Professional development; Reflective practice*

---

Date of Submission: 25-01-2026 Date of Acceptance: 05-02-2026

---

## I. Introduction

Mathematics teaching plays a strategic role in Basic Education and in teacher education, however, it remains strongly influenced by traditional pedagogical practices that often fail to respond to students' contemporary learning needs. An emphasis on content transmission and mechanical execution of procedures has limited student participation and hindered the construction of meaningful mathematical knowledge. In this context, the adoption of innovative pedagogical approaches and educational technologies has been highlighted as a relevant pathway for improving teacher education and transforming Mathematics teaching practices (Bezerra and Silva, 2024).

Nevertheless, the implementation of pedagogical innovation cannot occur in isolation from institutional and structural conditions. As emphasized by Bezerra and Silva (2024), the effectiveness of new methodologies depends on public policies that ensure adequate infrastructure, continuous professional development, and teacher valorization, particularly in socially vulnerable contexts such as the Amazon region. Rethinking initial and continuing Mathematics teacher education therefore requires attention not only to didactic aspects but also to the social, political, and territorial dimensions that shape teaching practice.

Within this broader framework, student dropout, especially in Mathematics teacher education programs, emerges as a significant challenge. Addressing this issue demands integrated strategies, including early identification of students at risk, the provision of academic and emotional support, curriculum redesign aimed at enhancing relevance and engagement, and the strengthening of partnerships between teacher education institutions and secondary schools. Rodrigues, Lopes, and Vieira (2025) argue that applying theories of

knowledge within the pedagogical practices of Mathematics licensure programs can foster learning environments that promote active student participation in the construction of mathematical knowledge, thereby increasing engagement and academic persistence

Furthermore, it is essential to recognize that mathematical learning is not limited to participation in practical activities alone. Silva and Sousa (2024) emphasize that the production and systematization of concepts are fundamental features of human activity, and that theoretical concepts are not automatically appropriated merely through engagement in practice. This perspective underscores the importance of teacher education approaches that intentionally articulate theory and practice, enabling future Mathematics teachers to develop a deep, critical understanding of the concepts they teach.

In this sense, Mathematics teacher education grounded in reflective practices, solid theoretical foundations, and social commitment has the potential to address longstanding challenges in Mathematics education. By integrating pedagogical innovation, educational policies, and qualified teacher mediation, it becomes possible to advance toward a more meaningful, inclusive, and socially contextualized Mathematics education.

## II. Digital Technologies As A Structuring Axis Of Mathematics Teacher Education

The development of mathematics teacher education has increasingly been influenced by the integration of digital technologies into the learning process. Since the 1950s, educational technology initiatives have evolved from behaviorist approaches, where computers were primarily used as content transmitters and students were trained to respond correctly to pre-established questions (Idem & Silva, 2024), toward more interactive and constructivist methodologies. This shift emphasizes active student engagement and the use of digital tools to mediate learning.

Despite the widespread adoption of technology, the effectiveness of these interventions heavily relies on teachers' preparation. Without proper training, the integration of digital tools can remain superficial and fail to achieve the intended pedagogical outcomes (Santos et al., 2025). Thus, teacher education programs must not only provide access to technological resources but also develop teachers' competencies in applying them meaningfully within mathematics instruction.

The design of teacher education curricula also plays a crucial role in shaping future educators. The integration of pedagogical knowledge with specific content knowledge, along with digital literacy, is essential for cultivating a professional identity that meets contemporary teaching demands (Rosa & Nacarato, 2024). In addition, the persistent shortage of qualified mathematics and science teachers has been documented across media and research studies, highlighting the need for strategic interventions in teacher recruitment and training (Gomes, 2016).

Furthermore, advancing teacher training requires attention to the didactics of mathematics, emphasizing both the deepening of formative processes and the enhancement of research-based methodologies (Ponte, 2023). By addressing these dimensions, teacher education can foster the development of professionals capable of integrating technological, pedagogical, and disciplinary knowledge effectively, thereby improving student engagement and learning outcomes.

## III. Continuing Education In Mathematics For Digital Resources

Teacher education plays a pivotal role in shaping effective mathematics instruction, particularly when mediated by digital technologies. Bezerra and Morellatti (2023) emphasize that formative experiences allow teachers to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and classroom practice, promoting a deep understanding of pedagogical principles. Through these experiences, teachers engage in reflective processes that enable them to critically analyze their instructional choices, recognize challenges, and identify opportunities for improvement. Collaboration and cooperative learning among teachers further reinforce this reflective practice, fostering professional growth and strengthening their capacity to implement innovative and contextually relevant strategies in mathematics classrooms. In this sense, teacher education becomes not only a space for knowledge transmission but also a platform for cultivating professional identity and adaptive expertise.

Despite the recognized importance of formative experiences, initial teacher education programs often fail to provide adequate preparation in technological competencies. Silva, Santos, and Faria (2025) argue that while digital tools hold enormous potential to enhance mathematics learning, their effectiveness depends largely on the teachers' ability to integrate them meaningfully into their teaching. Without targeted training, technology integration may remain superficial, serving more as a demonstration of novelty than as a pedagogical resource that facilitates conceptual understanding. Therefore, ongoing professional development is essential to equip educators with both the technical skills and the pedagogical knowledge necessary to use digital resources strategically, supporting active student engagement and fostering learning outcomes aligned with contemporary educational goals (Marin et al., 2019; Luz, Santos & Junger, 2020; Araujo & Junger, 2024).

Continuing education initiatives have been shown to facilitate the reconceptualization of both mathematical knowledge and pedagogical strategies. Kuhn (2023) notes that professional development programs that emphasize problem-solving approaches enable teachers to rethink their instructional practices and apply structured strategies that support students' development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills. By embedding these strategies into classroom practice, educators are better prepared to guide students through complex tasks, promote reasoning and analytical skills, and cultivate a deeper appreciation for mathematical concepts. This process highlights the reciprocal benefits of teacher learning: as teachers refine their own understanding and methodologies, students experience richer and more meaningful learning opportunities.

The integration of digital tools into mathematics instruction is not merely a technical endeavor but a fundamentally pedagogical one. When teachers align technology use with principles of active learning and collaborative engagement, they create dynamic classroom environments where students can experiment, explore, and construct knowledge together. Silva, Santos, and Faria (2025) suggest that technology should be used not as a substitute for traditional teaching but as a means to expand learning possibilities, enabling differentiated instruction and fostering autonomy. In this context, teachers act as mediators, guiding students to interact with digital resources purposefully and critically, rather than passively consuming content.

Formative experiences also encourage reflective practice, which is essential for teachers to continuously improve their instructional strategies. Bezerra and Morellatti (2023) highlight that reflection within collaborative settings allows teachers to assess the effectiveness of their approaches, test new ideas, and consolidate professional identity. Reflective practice becomes a mechanism for professional self-regulation, enabling educators to identify strengths and weaknesses in their teaching, adapt to diverse student needs, and make informed decisions about pedagogical interventions. Over time, this reflective cycle strengthens teacher autonomy, enhances instructional quality, and promotes a culture of lifelong learning within educational institutions.

The concept of praxis, understood as the integration of theory and practice, is central to enhancing teacher effectiveness in mathematics education. Pontes (2025) argues that reimagining educational praxis can foster integrative approaches that contextualize mathematical knowledge within students' everyday experiences. By connecting abstract concepts to real-world applications, teachers help students perceive the relevance of mathematics in their lives, motivating deeper engagement and sustaining interest in the subject. Praxis-oriented teacher education encourages educators to balance curricular objectives with innovative instructional strategies, ultimately promoting more coherent, meaningful, and socially responsive mathematics teaching.

Professional development in mathematics must also address curriculum design and methodological innovation. Kuhn (2023) notes that when teachers are trained to implement problem-solving strategies, they not only enhance student learning but also strengthen their own pedagogical reasoning and decision-making skills. This dual impact demonstrates that effective teacher education programs must provide opportunities for teachers to experiment with instructional methods, evaluate their outcomes, and integrate feedback into subsequent practice. By fostering critical reflection on curriculum design and instructional methods, professional development helps create teachers who are adaptive, resilient, and capable of responding to the evolving demands of mathematics education.

Contextualized teacher education ensures that technology integration is meaningful and aligned with pedagogical goals. Silva, Santos, and Faria (2025) emphasize that teachers must be equipped with both technological and pedagogical knowledge to effectively mediate learning experiences. When educators understand how digital tools can complement specific instructional objectives, they can design activities that enhance conceptual understanding, encourage collaboration, and support the development of higher-order thinking skills. Conversely, without such preparation, the mere presence of technology in the classroom risks becoming a superficial addition, failing to engage students in deep and meaningful learning.

The collaborative and reflective dimensions of teacher formation are closely linked to sustained professional growth. Bezerra and Morellatti (2023) underscore that when teachers participate in cooperative learning communities, they are better able to innovate, experiment, and adapt instructional strategies to diverse classroom contexts. Such interactions also allow educators to share insights, learn from peers, and collectively address challenges, contributing to a culture of continuous improvement. Ultimately, this collaborative approach strengthens both individual and institutional capacity, fostering environments where effective mathematics teaching and learning can flourish.

Overall, the dialogue between formative experiences, technology integration, problem-solving strategies, and reflective praxis illustrates that effective mathematics teacher education is multifaceted and dynamic. Pontes (2025) suggests that integrating these elements into teacher preparation can enhance students' meaningful learning, ensure the relevance of mathematics instruction to contemporary societal demands, and support teachers in developing coherent, contextually responsive, and pedagogically sound practices. By prioritizing these interconnected dimensions, teacher education programs can cultivate professionals who are

capable of fostering critical thinking, creativity, and active engagement in mathematics, preparing students for the challenges and opportunities of the twenty-first century.

## IV. Conclusion

The formation of mathematics teachers in contexts mediated by digital resources is essential for the advancement of contemporary education. Effective teacher preparation requires not only access to technological tools but also the development of pedagogical strategies that integrate these resources meaningfully into classroom practice. Teachers need opportunities for reflective engagement with their teaching methods to strengthen professional identity and enhance instructional quality, ensuring that learning experiences are active, collaborative, and student-centered.

Incorporating digital technologies into mathematics education transforms the learning environment by promoting interactivity, engagement, and conceptual understanding. When used strategically, these tools support differentiated instruction, foster higher-order thinking skills, and encourage students to take an active role in constructing knowledge. Technology, therefore, is not a replacement for teaching but a catalyst that expands possibilities for meaningful and inclusive learning.

A praxis-oriented approach, which connects theory and practice, is crucial for effective teacher formation. By integrating reflection, problem-solving strategies, and curricular innovation, teachers are able to design coherent and contextually relevant learning experiences. Such preparation equips educators to respond to the diverse needs of students, to create classrooms that are intellectually stimulating, and to promote the development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills.

Finally, the continuous development of teachers must be supported by institutional frameworks and systemic policies that encourage professional growth, collaboration, and innovation. Sustained investment in teacher education ensures that educators are prepared to face evolving educational challenges and to foster learning environments that are meaningful, inclusive, and technologically enriched. Ultimately, the formation of mathematics teachers in the digital era is a dynamic and ongoing process that shapes both teaching quality and student success.

## References


[1]. ARAUJO, Manoel Messias Pereira JUNGER, Alex Paubel. Dialogando A Formação Continuada De Professores: Uma Revisão Sistemática Da Literatura. Cuadernos De Educación Y Desarrollo, V. 16, N. 1, P. 1446–1463, 2024. DOI: 10.55905/Cuadv16n1-076. Disponível Em: Https://Ojs.Cuadernoseducacion.Com/Ojs/Index.Php/Ced/Article/View/3079. Acesso Em: 30 Jan. 2026.

[2]. BEZERRA, Renata Camacho; MORELLATTI, Maria Raquel Miotto. A Formação Continuada Dos Professores Que Ensinam Matemática No Contexto Da Lesson Study: Discutindo As Aprendizagens. Revista Pesquisa Qualitativa, V. 11, N. 27, P. 338–360, 2023. DOI: 10.33361/RPQ.2023.V.11.N.27.558. Disponível Em: Https://Editora.Sepq.Org.Br/Rpq/Article/View/558. Acesso Em: 30 Jan. 2026.

[3]. BEZERRA, Jônatas Dos Santos; SILVA, Clodoaldo Matias Da. A Formação De Professores De Matemática: Desafios, Inovações E Políticas Educacionais No Contexto Amazônico. Revista Ibero-Americana De Humanidades, Ciências E Educação, V. 10, N. 12, P. 3114–3130, 2024. DOI: 10.51891/Rease.V10i12.17669. Disponível Em: Https://Periodicorease.Pro.Br/Rease/Article/View/17669. Acesso Em: 15 Jan. 2026.

[4]. GOMES, Maria Laura Magalhães. Desafios Da Formação Docente Na Licenciatura Em Matemática. Perspectivas Da Educação Matemática, V. 9, N. 21, 2016. Disponível Em: Https://Periodicos.Ufms.Br/Index.Php/Pedmat/Article/View/2886. Acesso Em: 30 Jan. 2026.

[5]. IDEM, Rita De Cássia; SILVA, Ricardo Scucuglia Rodrigues Da. Tecnologias Digitais No Ensino E Na Formação Docente Segundo A Visão De Estudantes De Licenciatura Em Matemática. Eccos Revista Científica, São Paulo, N. 56, E8501, Jan. 2021. Disponível Em: Http://Educa.Fcc.Org.Br/Scielo.Php?Script=Sci_Arttext&Pid=S1983-92782021000100107&Lng=Pt&Nrm=Iso. Acesso Em: 30 Jan. 2026.

[6]. KUHN, Malcus Cassiano. Formação Continuada De Professores De Matemática: Reflexões Sobre A Resolução De Problemas. Cadernos De Pesquisa, V. 30, N. 2, P. 191–211, 30 Jun 2023 Disponível Em: Https://Periodicoseletronicos.Ufma.Br/Index.Php/Cadernosdepesquisa/Article/View/13343. Acesso Em: 30 Jan 2026.

[7]. LUZ, Jefferson Oliveira Cristovão Da; DOS SANTOS, Marcio Eugen Klingenschmid Lopes Dos; JUNGER, Alex Paubel. Educação Financeira: Um Estudo De Caso Com Jovens Do Ensino Médio Na Cidade De São Paulo. Revista De Ensino De Ciências E Matemática, São Paulo, V. 11, N. 3, P. 199–211, 2020. DOI: 10.26843/Rencima.V11i3.2453. Disponível Em: Https://Revistapos.Cruzeirodosul.Edu.Br/Rencima/Article/View/2453. Acesso Em: 30 Jan. 2026.

[8]. MARIN, Andrea Cristina Et Al. A Educação Profissional No Brasil: Breve Histórico Do Artificie Nas Casas Da Moeda Ao Profissional Tecnólogo Amparado Pela LDB De 1996. Revista Humanidades E Inovação, V. 6, N. 2, P. 80-93, 2019. Disponível Em: Https://Revista.Unitins.Br/Index.Php/Humanidadeseinovacao/Article/View/965. Acesso Em: 16 Jan. 2026.

[9]. PONTE, João Pedro Da. Formação De Professores Que Ensinam Matemática: Um Campo De Estudo De Realizações E Desafios. Revista Eletrônica De Educação, V. 17, P. E6563102, 2023. DOI: 10.14244/198271996563. Disponível Em: Https://Www.Reveduc.Ufscar.Br/Index.Php/Reveduc/Article/View/6563. Acesso Em: 30 Jan. 2026.

[10]. PONTES, Edel Alexandre Silva. Formação Continuada De Professores De Matemática Na Educação Profissional E Tecnológica: Caminho Para A Transformação Ou Repetição De Práticas?. Revista Ensino Em Debate, Fortaleza, V. 5, P. E2025036, 2025. DOI: 10.21439/2965-6753.V5.E2025036. Disponível Em: Https://Revistarede.Ifce.Edu.Br/Ojs/Index.Php/Rede/Article/View/152. Acesso Em: 30 Jan. 2026.

[11]. RODRIGUES, Amanda Moraes; LOPES, Thiago Beirigo; VIEIRA, Suellen Aparecida Greatti. A Formação De Professores De Matemática: Como As Teorias Epistemológicas Podem Contribuir Com O Problema Da Evasão. REMATEC, Belém, V. 20, N. 53, P. E2025021, 2025. DOI: 10.37084/REMATEC.1980-3141.2025.N53.E2025021.Id809. Disponível Em: Https://Www.Rematec.Net.Br/Index.Php/Rematec/Article/View/809. Acesso Em: 15 Jan. 2026.

[12]. ROSA, Nilvana Moreti Ferreira; NACARATO, Adair Mendes. A Formação De Professores Na Licenciatura Em Matemática: Um Olhar Para Os Fóruns Da Sbem. Revista De História Da Educação Matemática, V. 10, P. 1–25, 2024. DOI: 10.62246/HISTEMAT.2447-6447.2024.10.669. Disponível Em: Https://Www.Histemat.Com.Br/Index.Php/HISTEMAT/Article/View/669 . Acesso Em: 30 Jan. 2026.

[13]. SANTOS, Silvana Maria Aparecida Viana; ABIORANA, Bruno Harley Monteiro; PUPIM, Cláudio Eduardo; FREITAS, Edriane Mendes Pereira; TAQUINI, Fernanda Cibien; BONFIM, Lucineia Da Fonseca Santos; DA LUZ, Marli Arens; BARBOSA, Maura José. A Formação Continuada De Professores De Matemática Para O Uso Eficaz Das Novas Tecnologias Em Sala De Aula. Aracê, V. 7, N. 5, P. 28871–28886, 2025. DOI: 10.56238/Arev7n5-454. Disponível Em: Https://Periodicos.Newsciencepubl.Com/Arace/Article/View/5551. Acesso Em: 30 Jan. 2026.

[14]. SILVA, Maria Marta Da; SOUSA, Maria Do Carmo De. Formação De Professores De Matemática: O Desenvolvimento Do Pensamento Teórico E O Processo De Aprendizagem Da Atividade Pedagógica. Bolema: Boletim De Educação Matemática, V. 38, P. E230274, 2024. DOI: Https://Doi.Org/10.1590/1980-4415v38a230274. Disponível Em: Https://Www.Scielo.Br/J/Bolema/A/SLPQ45DqkcXkjLYtNZX7K5c/. Acesso Em: 16 Jan. 2025.

[15]. SILVA, Taylla Cristina De Paula; SANTOS, Silvana Claudia Dos; FARIA, Rejane Waiandt Schuwartz De Carvalho. Formação Continuada De Professores Para O Ensino De Matemática Com Tecnologias Digitais. Revista De Produção Discente Em Educação Matemática, V. 14, N. 1, P. E 67700, 2025. DOI: 10.23925/2238-8044.2025v14i1.67700. Disponível Em: Https://Revistas.Pucsp.Br/Index.Php/Pdemat/Article/View/67700. Acesso Em: 30 Jan. 2026.